



037

# Comparing Group and Individual Problem Solving: A Case Study from Newtonian Mechanics

**M. Berge**<sup>1</sup>

Researcher and guest lecturer  
Division of Engineering Education Research  
Chalmers University of Technology  
Göteborg, Sweden  
maria.berge@chalmers.se

---

**T. Adawi**

Researcher and Head of Division  
Division of Engineering Education Research  
Chalmers University of Technology  
Göteborg, Sweden  
adawi@chalmers.se

---

**Conference Topic:** Engineering Education Research

**Keywords:** Newtonian mechanics, problem solving, collaborative learning, transfer

Previous research has demonstrated that many students not only emerge from their studies of physics with serious gaps in their conceptual understanding but that they also experience serious structural difficulties when solving physics problems, such as being able to see the structure of possible solutions and answers before actually solving the problem [1, 2]. One commonly implemented instructional strategy to bridge these two types of gaps is to let students solve problems in groups, as this leads to better conceptual understanding and students are able to solve more complex problems in groups than individually [3,4]. There has, however, been very little research focusing on how the problem solving process changes when students solve physics problems together rather than individually.

<sup>1</sup> M. Berge, maria.berge@chalmers.se



In this case study, we explore differences and similarities in how students formulate and solve physics problems in groups and individually. The empirical data comprised video-recorded sessions of students solving problems in groups [5] and semi-structured interviews with other students solving the same set of problems individually [2]. All students were enrolled in Engineering Physics at Chalmers University of Technology in Gothenburg, Sweden. The problems were drawn from Newtonian mechanics and the solution to the problems required an understanding of basic notions such as force, friction, acceleration and system. Success on the problems also required an understanding of basic mathematical notions such as functions, systems of equations and derivatives. An analysis of the video-recordings and the interviews revealed how the students struggled with both near- and far transfer [6, 7], i.e. transfer to a similar and a different context. Moreover, different patterns of problem solving that were connected to the social context, i.e. if the problems were solved in groups or individually, became apparent. A comparison between these contexts illustrates some of the benefits of collaborative learning. Some implications for instruction are also discussed in the paper. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] McDermott, L. C. (1997). Students' conceptions and problem solving in mechanics. In A. Tiberghien, E. L. Jossem & J. Barojas (Eds.), *Connecting research in physics education with teacher education: The International Commission on Physics Education*
- [2] Adawi, T., Ingerman, Å., and Pendrill, A. (2005). *How Mathematical Is Conceptual Understanding?* Paper presented at the Physics Teaching in Engineering Education PTEE 2005, Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic.
- [3] Heller, P., and Hollabaugh, M. (1992). Teaching Problem Solving Through Cooperative Grouping. Part 1: Group Versus Individual Problem Solving. *American Journal of Physics*, 60(7), 627-636.
- [4] Heller, P., and Hollabaugh, M. (1992). Teaching problem solving through cooperative grouping. Part 2: Designing problems and structuring groups. *American Journal of Physics*, 60(7), 637-644.
- [5] Berge, M. (2011). *Group work and physics: characteristics, learning possibilities and patterns of interaction*. Göteborg: Chalmers University of Technology.
- [6] Perkins, D. and Saloman, G. (1992) Transfer of learning. In T. Husen and T. Postlethwaite (eds) *The international encyclopedia of education 2nd Edition*, Vol. 11 (Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd).
- [7] Barnett, S. M., and Ceci, S. J. (2002). When and where do we apply what we learn? A taxonomy for far transfer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(4), 612-637.